8.0 CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE

8.1 Background

The surface impoundment closure cost estimate is based on removing sludge and contaminated soil, dewatering the sludge, and disposing of dewatered sludge and soil in a hazardous waste landfill. Pursuant to USEPA Guidance documents on preparing closure cost estimates, the criteria used in preparing this estimate are conservative.

One of the key conservative assumptions is that the sludge will be dewatered to only 30% solids. Vendor treatability studies and the actual field work on the 1992 impoundment cleanout indicates that it may be possible to produce a pressed cake with a higher solids content. Using a lower solids content produces a conservative cost estimate because it results in an increase in pressed cake tonnage which increases both the dewatering cost and landfill disposal cost. In addition, the landfill cost used is a current contract number, but can likely be reduced with negotiation. The effect of these conservative assumptions is to artificially inflate the projected cost for closure. Should more cost effective sludge processing technology be identified, BP will use the lesser cost technology. This makes the cost estimate yet again conservative.

Based on the above, the cost to close the MHR surface impoundment is estimated to be \$2.8 million in 1993 dollars. The references used in developing this estimate include:

- Vendor Budget Estimates For āreas such as sludge dewatering, budget cost information was collected from vendors.
- 2. <u>Plant Experience</u> Some of the cost information was provided by MHR project engineering personnel. Due to the fact that many costs are site-specific, it was determined to be more accurate to use plant experience when available rather than text reference material.
- 3. <u>Guidance Manual: Cost Estimates for Closure & Post Closure Plans</u>, Vol. III, Unit Costs, Nov. 1986 by US EPA Unit costs were found here for areas such as sludge removal.
- 4. <u>Survey of Current Business</u> Used to determine the GNP implicit price deflators in order to escalate costs where required.

85

8.2 Cost Estimate Summary

The cost estimate summary (Figure 8-1) shows the categories and subcategories considered in the development of the cost estimate. A 15% contingency has been included on the bottom line to account for unforeseen expenditures. The estimated total costs are \$2.8 million. The major cost components of this estimate are sludge removal and dewatering which contributes \$395,000, sludge disposal which contributes \$585,000, and soil disposal which contributes \$737,000.

8.3 Key Assumptions and Calculations

8.3.1 Key Assumptions

One key assumption, the volume of sludge and emulsion contained in the SI was determined by a detailed calculations using actual impoundment top dimensions and then calculating volumes of various cross-sections of the impoundment. The actual depth of the free water, emulsion, and sludge are based on the BPR March 1993 detailed impoundment sampling. This provided the volumes of the sludge and emulsion as shown in Figure 8-2. Using the measured specific gravity of these layers, an estimate of the tonnage was developed. The key assumptions that form the basis of the cost estimate are shown in the Key Calculation Assumptions worksheet (Figure 8-3). In addition, backup information is provided in three Appendices: the Engineering Calculations (Appendix H); and the Vendor Budget Cost Information (Appendix I), and USEPA Worksheets Volume II of the Cost Guidance Manual (Appendix K).

8.3.2 Calculations

The calculations that result in the final costs are tabulated in the Impoundment Closure Calculations and Cost Detail tables in Figure 8-3. This information contains the mass balance information pertaining to sludge dewatering, as well as the details of the cost categories. Even though oil may be recovered in some of the processing steps, such as dewatering of the sludge, in line with the conservative estimation, no credit is included in the calculations.

October 29, 1993 86

8.4 Cost Components of the Estimate

The cost components of the estimate are discussed below:

- 1. <u>Free Oil Recovery</u> A cost allowance is included in the event that the free oil on the top of the impoundment could be skimmed off and recovered. Under current conditions it is not technologically nor economically feasible to remove the thin film of oil. However to maintain the conservative nature of the cost estimate the small cost component of \$1,000 was included.
- 2. Free Standing Liquid Removal and Treatment The 1,069,000 gallons of free standing water is assumed to be removed by a third party contractor at an estimated cost of \$11,000. The treatment of the water is assumed to be in the advanced waste water treatment plant (AWWTP) located on-site. The cost of treating wastewater, \$.09 per gallon, is based on an in-house BP study of the incremental costs associated with increased flows to the AWWTP. Although this cost will be absorbed by the refinery as an on going operating cost, the treatment cost, estimated as \$96,200, is included.
- 3. <u>Emulsion Treatment</u> This step, a pretreatment step, would separate oil (recycle) and water (treatment) from an emulsion layer. The remaining sludge solids would be processed with the other SI sludge solids. These emulsion treatment costs were provided by a vendor and the equipment, chemicals and removal costs are estimated to be \$43,000. It is likely that this step will not be feasible and thus an additional conservative factor is added.
- 4. <u>Sludge Removal/Dewatering</u> Sludge removal and dewatering is a significant category accounting for \$395,000 of the total cost estimate. The most costly component of this step is the dewatering process fee, based on vendor information. Vendors who conducted treatability testing on MHR sludge samples provided a budget cost number of \$120/ton to dewater sludge to a 30% dry solids cake. A processing cost of \$322,000 was derived from an estimate of a 2,684 tons of filter cake The remaining cost of \$73,000 includes the subcategories; power, mob/demob fee, additives, site prep, and job monitoring.
- 5. <u>Dewatered Sludge Treatment/Disposal</u> The total cost estimate for this category is \$585,000. The loading/handling of the sludge and a lab /hazardous characterization fee is estimated to equal \$19,000. The disposal of the sludge is to a hazardous waste landfill. Transportation of \$58/ton is based on actual refinery experience. Transportation of the 2,684 tons of sludge will cost

87

- \$156,000. The landfill disposal at \$153/ton is based on current contractual information and results in a cost of \$411,000. This is a conservative assumption as landfill rates are declining.
- 6. <u>Soil/Liner Removal</u> In this estimate removal of the soil and liner is considered one cost component. The total cost for removal is estimated to be \$43,000.
- 7. <u>Soil/Liner Treatment & Disposal</u> The disposal of the soil/liner is to the hazardous waste landfill, consistent with the sludge, and with the same unit costs. The tons of soil exceed the tons of sludge, resulting in this being the most significant one cost category at a cost of \$737,000.
- 8. Remove/Dispose of Structures, etc. This category includes the cleaning of structures and pipes in the impoundment. These costs are based on plant experience. This cost is estimated at \$94,000.
- 9. <u>Backfill the Hole</u> In this estimate, the hole is partially filled at a cost of \$149,000. A cost of \$10,000 is included to provide for new pipe and a new sump for surface water control. The remaining \$139,000 is to haul and place dirt. A unit cost of \$9/ton is from recent refinery experience.
- 10. <u>Internal Engineering Costs</u> An in-house estimate of internal engineering costs indicate a cost of \$40,000. These costs including project management, contractor oversight and corporate technical support are based on site experience.
- 11. <u>Outside Engineering Costs</u> Total outside engineering costs of \$160,000 are estimated. These costs would include closure design, closure certification, project management, closure report preparation, sampling and analysis, and risk assessment if needed.
- 12. Contingency A 15% contingency has been included.

88

COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT CLOSURE

FREE OIL RECOVERY

FREE STANDING LIQ. REMOVE AND TREAT

Mobilize/Demobilize Liquid Removal Treatment/Process On site WWT Plant

EMULSION REMOVAL AND TREATMENT

Removal Cost Equipment Rental Cost Chemicals Cost Other

SLUDGE REMOVAL/ DEWATERING

Mobilize/Demobilize Fee Power Additives Dewetening Process Charge Site Prep Job Monitoring/Analytical

SLUDGE TREATMENT/DISPOSAL

Lab Fee/Haz Charact. Loading/Handling Transportation Landfill Fee

SOIL/LINER REMOVAL

Mobilize/Demobilize Fee Excavate/Load

SOIL/LINER TREATMENT/DISPOSAL

Lab Fee/Haz Charact. Loading/Handling Transportation Landfill Fee

Itemized	Subtotals
,	\$1,000
\$5,000 \$6,298 \$96,253	\$107,551
\$13,821 \$10,000 \$14,533 \$5,000	\$43,386
\$11,000 \$14,066 \$23,320 \$322,130 \$20,000 \$5,000	\$395,516
\$5,500 \$13,422 \$155,696 \$410,716	⁾ \$585,334
62,270 840,614	\$42,884
\$5,500 \$16,923 \$196,301 \$517,829	\$736,553

Figure 8-1 Cost Estimate Summary

REMOVE/DISPOSE STRUCT.,RIP/RAP,ETC Structures, remove and haul Clean Structures and Pipes Rip Rap wash Landfill Rip Rap	\$400 \$15,550 \$0 \$77,718	\$93,668	
BACKFILL THE HOLE	\$10,000	1	
New Pipe and Sump	\$139,271	*	
Haul and Place Dirt	\$139,271	\$149,271	
INTERNAL ENGINEERING COSTS		\$40,000	
OUTSIDE ENGINEERING COSTS	•	•	
Closure Design	\$10,000	,	
Closure Certification	\$10,000		
Project Mgmt	\$30,000		
Closure Report	\$25,000		
Sampling & Analysis	¢55,000		
Risk Assessment	\$30,000 -	\$160,000	
SUBTOTAL		\$2,355,163	
CONTINGENCY @ 20%		\$471,033	
TOTAL COSTS		\$2,826,196	



POND CHARACTERISTIC DATA

REFINERY LOCATION POND NAME TREATMENT OPTION

MARCUS HOOK REFINERY
IMPOUNDMENT POND
REMOVE WASTE DISPOSE IN LANDFILL

	Ft2									
	F12			99,900	Normalized Rectangular Dimens	sions			VOLUN	AE, FT3
	Yd2		-	11,100	Length Ft.	360		FREEBOARD		480,863
	Acre			2.3	Width Ft.	277.5		WATER	~	142,949
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Slope Top Width	4:/1		EMULSION		90,729
reeboard		Volume , Ft3			Slope Btm Width	4:/1		SLUDGE		122,433
	5.6	Depth, FT	Aq3		Slope Rt Length	3./1		SOIL		27,268
87	7,430	Area ,FT2	Gal		Slope Lft Length	4:/1				
			Вы		ond Depth,ft, Top of Berm to					
ree Oil Layer		Gal	· :	4,000		11 (pe	er C.F.)			
Layer Depth not Consistent, Vol. Es	timeted from S									
ree Standing Water Layer		Volume , Ft3			Specific Gravity *	1,00	FS Water	%		TONS
	2	Depth, FT	Y43		Lbs	8,908,708	S		0.05	
7	1,475	Area ,FT2	Gel	1,069,473	Tons	4,454	. 0		0.5	
			Bbl	25,464			W		99.45	4,4
mulsion Layer		Volume , Ft3		90,729	Specific Gravity	0.98	Emulsion	%	TONS	
	1.4	, Depth, FT	Aq3	3,360		5,541,226	S		8.49	4
64	4,807	Area ,FT2	Gal	678,789	Tons	2,771	0		9.3	:
		•	ВЫ	16,162			w		82.21	2,2
Sludge Layer		Volume , Ft3		122,434	Specific Gravity *	1,06	Studge	%		TONS
	2.1	Depth, FT	. Yd3	4,535	Lbs /	8,087,963	S	`	11.5	4
51	3,302	Area ,FT2	Gal-	915,985	Tons .	4,044	0		13.97	E
			ВЫ	21,809			w		74.53	3,0
Soil/Lining LayerPond Btm		Volume , Ft3		27,268	Specific Gravity *	1,95	Soil/Liner	%		TONS
	0.5	Depth, FT	Yd3	1,0.10	Lbs	3,313,756	s	\$.	55	9
5-	4,536	Area ,FT2	Gal	204,005	Tons	1,657	· 0		0	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Вы		ì		w		45	\ 7
Soil/Gravel - Pond Sides		Volume , Ft3	÷	27,439	Specific Gravity *	2.24	Soil/Liner	%		TONS
	0.5	Depth, FT	Yd3		Lbs	3,830,409	s		100	1,9
54	,878	Area ,FT2	Gal	205,283	Tons ·	1,915	0		·····O	•
		•	Вы		1		w		0:	
Groundwater			Gal	50,000	NOTE-Specific Gravity is Im	portent Since Tonnage is Based	on That Value!			
Rip Rep Vol.	*-1-		Ft3	5850		portant emile remage is base				
NP NED VOI.			F13	5850	•	; I	*		ı	
Recovered Oil Credit \$/Bbl										
Oil Specific Gravity			0.95	LB/BBL	332	2				
lest of Combustion-Oil (Btu/lb)				-	20,000	5				
Heat of Combustion-Solids (Btu/lb)					2,900	57				
Heat of Combustion-Insitu Sludge La	ever (Btu/lb)-C	ALC No.			3,128	3 .				
Heat of Combustion-Soil/Lining Lay			*	•	2,700					



Figure 8-3 Key Calculation Assumptions

KEY CALCULATION ASSUMPTIONS

SOURCE OF DATA	FREE OIL RECOVERY		-	
	Oil Recovery %		95	-
	Cost Incurred \$		1,000	•
	FREE-STANDING WATER REMOVAL and 1	DEATMENT		
NWT Limits flow to 150 gpm	Pumping Rate (gpm)	THEAT MENT	150	
WWY CHILL HOW ID 150 gpm	Mobilize/Demob Fee \$		5000	_
Pg. 4-4 Ref #1-5:92/100 gal	Liquid Removal Fee 9/hr		53	-
•	Treatmt/Process Option	-	On Site WWT Plant	•
in-House Deta	Treatmt/Process Fee 8/gal	-	0.09	-
	•		·	•
	EMULSION REMOVAL AND TREATMENT		,	
	Emulsion Treatment Option		CHEMICAL	
Pg. 4-5 Ref #1	Removal Cost \$/ton		5	<u> </u>
rg. 4-5 net #+ Allowence	Equipment Rental 6		10000	-
Allowance Vendor-Chemlink	Chemicals Cost \$/ 1000 gallon emulsion in		21.41	_
Allowance	Other Cost \$		5000	_
AUGITUIN.E	Sludge Out			-
Vendor-Chemlink	Solids %		15	
Period-Grenzia	Oil %		4	-
	Removed Water- Treatment Method		WWT	-
in-House Dets	Water Treat Cost \$/gal		0:09	-
III-TIOGE DAG	Water Heat Cost Vigar	Sludge is Disposed via Options Se		-
		Clouds in Disposed the Spacins Se	induction discognization	
	SLUDGE REMOVAL AND DEWATERING			
	Note- Costs Include Removal and Dewaterin	9		
	Dewatering Alternative	•	FILTER PRESS	-
Vendor-Bird	Mobilize/Demobilize Fee\$		11,000	-
Vendor-Bird	Process Charge \$/ton cake		120	<u>-</u>
	Liquid Additives	940.0040.0440.0440.0000.0000.0000.0000.	NS	
	Additive #1	Ferric Chloride	00 <u>.</u> ************************************	:
Vendor-Bird		% of Dry Tons	3%	
Vendor-Bird	A	Cost-\$/ton	360	_
	Additive #2	Liquid Additive b	<u>~</u>	
		Gal/Ton studge	***************************************	
	Callda Addisiana	Cost-\$/Gal	0	_
	Solide Additives Additive #3	Pre Coat	do:	
	Additive #3	Ton/Ton sludge	<u>~_</u>	
		Cost-\$/Ton	0	-
		Btu/lb	0	<u>.</u>
	Additive #4	Lime		_ /
Vandas Blat	Addidas ad		<u> </u>	:
Vendor-Bird		% of Dry Tons	15%	_
Vendor-Bird		Cost-\$/ton	150	<u> </u>
N 5	Cita Dran Claha Caratiana Hallata	Btu/lb	20,000	
Plant Experience	Site Prep-Slabs, Containment, Utilities \$		20,000	
Allowance	Job Monitoring/Analysis \$	b-64- ev	5,000	_
Vendor-Bird	Dewatered Sludge	Solida %	30	_
Vendor-Bird	Composition wt%	Dil %	15	
	Power Cost \$/kwh	0.0	5 Power Required KWH	281,3



Figure 8-3 Key Calculation Assumptions

	ASSUMPTIONS		
SOURCE OF DATA	SLUDGE DRYING NOT INC	LUDED	O
	DRYING OF SLUDGE	•	***************************************
	Treatment		Lo. Temp Drying
	Mobilize/Demobilize Fee \$		<u> </u>
	Load/Haul to Dryer \$/ton		0
	Process Charge \$/ton in		0
	Power KWH		0
	Dried Sludge Composition-Wt. %		
		Solids %	70
		Oil%	15
	CLUDOF TREATMENT/DISPOSAL	•	
	SLUDGE TREATMENT/DISPOSAL		•
	LANDFILL DISPOSAL		
	Landfill Site	Ohio Landfill	
Current Pient No.	Transportation \$/ton	58	
Current Contract-Vendor	Landfill Fee\$/ton	153	
Allowance	Lab Fee \$	2,500	
3 Samples@\$900ea	Haz. Charact. \$	3,000	
Seme as Sludge Removal	_oeding/Hendling \$/ton	5	•
2 Dump Truck, 1 FE Loader-	SOIL/ LINER REMOVAL		
Pg. 6-3 Ref #1	Mobilize/Demob Fee \$	<u> </u>	2,270
	Standby Charge \$. 0
Pg. 6-3 Ref#1	Excavate/Load Cost \$/TON		12
Terex Date Book	Expansion Factor	<u> </u>	1.43
	Note- The Expansion Factor accounts	for the fact that when soild is disturbed from its	s natural state it flutts or swells.
	SOIL/LINER TREATMENT		
All Nos. Same as	LANDFILL DISPOSAL	}	•
SLudge TReatment	Landfill Site	Ohio Landfill	
Studge Meadlent	Loading/Handling \$/ton	5	
Current Plant No.	Transportation \$/ton	58	
Current Contract-Vendor	Landfill Fee\$/ton	153	
Allowance	Lab Fee 6	2,500	
3 Samples@5900ea	Haz. Charact. \$	3,000	
Same as Sludge Removel	laz. Ollaract. V		
Settle es Sludge natitoys:			
	ASSUMPTIONS		
SOURCE OF DATA	Lancia de la companya	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	GROUNDWATER TREATMENT		
	Mobilize/Demob Fee\$	****	0
	Treatment Option	NO	T REQUIRED
	Treatment Cost \$/Gal		0
	RIP RAP, PIPES, AND STRUCTURES F	REMOVAL AND CLEAN/DISPOSE	,

Figure 8-3 Key Calculation Assumptions

Plant Site Experience Same as Sludge Terex Date Book Pond Structures Weight, Tons
Structures, removal and Haul \$/ton
Clean Structures \$
Clean Pipee
Rip Rap Wash \$/yd3
Haz.Landfill Rip Rap. \$/ton - SAME COST AS SLUDGE
Rip Rap Deneity - bank | b/yd3

8 63,750 \$11,800 0 211 3400

Plant Site Experience Colculated BACKFILL THE HOLE Mobilize/Demob Fee\$ Supply/Place Fill Mtl \$/yd3 Clean Close-Partial Fill Vol ,Yd3

	\$10,000
	9.06
	13367

POST CLOSURE CARE

Annual Cost 6
CAP Inspection 6/yr
Otry/Annual reporting 6/yr
Well Maint. & Replacement 6/yr over 30 yr
Discount Factor %

Clean Closure	
NO MONITORING O Total Annual Cost	
O Total Allina Cost	0
0	
0:	

Plant Experience

INTERNAL ENGINEERING COSTS In-Plant Support Corp. Support Clean Closure-Treat
& Dispose Offsite
20,000
20,000

OUTSIDE ENGINEERING COSTS

Clean Closure-Treat & Dispose Offsito

Engineering costs estimated by project team Closure Design Closure Certification Project Mgmt Closure Report Sampling & Analysis Rick Assessment

10,000
10,000
30,000
25,000
55,000
30,000



POND CLOSURE CALCULATIONS & COST DETAIL	LS			
1993 \$		MARCUE HOOK MPOUNDMENT	***************************************	
	Recovery Costs		1,000	
		Olf Recovery Credit		
FREE-STANDING WATER REMOVAL and TI	REATMENT ollize/Demobilize		\$5,000	
Llqu	Introduction of the WWT F Subtotal	119 Hrs	96,296 996,263 0107,561	

	ON REMOVAL AND TREATMENT			
Emulsion Mass Balance	***************************************	Treatment Option	CHEMICAL	
Emulsion In (Tons)	2,771	Removal Cost	#13,853	
Oit	257.7	Equipment Rental Cost	♦10,000	
Solids	236.2	Other Costs	\$5,000	
Emulsion Sludge Out (Tons)	1668.2	Chemicals Cost	<u>\$14,533</u>	
Oil	62.7	Subtotal Before Oil Credit	\$43,386	
Solids	235.2	Oil Recovery Credit	<u>#0</u>	
Water	1270.2	Subtotal After Oil Credit	\$43,38B	
Dil Recovered (Bbl)	1,173	·		

	SLUDGE REMOVAL AND DEWATERING				
Note-Costs include Removal and Dewatering					FILTER PRESS
1			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Dewatering Option	
Sludge Wass Balance	Emulsion Layer	plus Sludge Lay		Mobilize/Demob. Fee	011,000
Sludge Tone In	1,568.2	4,044	0 5,612.1	Power	\$14,066
011	62.7	564	9 627.7	Additive Cost	
Solids	235.2	465.	1 700.3	Ferric Chloride	\$7,563
Water	J 1,270.2	3,014	0 4,284.2	Liquid Additive b	80
Additive-Precost			0.0	Pre Coat .	*0
Additive-Lime	·		105.0	Lime	\$15,766
Sludge Tons Out(Cake)			2,684.4	Dewatering Process Charge	0322,130
Oil			402.7	Site Prep-Slabs, Contain., Utilities	\$20,000
Solids -incl. Additives			805.3	Job Monitoring/Analytical	\$5,000
Water			1,476.4	Subtotal Before Oil Credit	\$395,516
Dil Recovered (Bbl)			1,354		
	***************************************	***************************************		Oli Recovery Credit	3 0
Sludge In (Btu/lb)			2,599	Subtotal After Oil Credit	#396,518
Owtr Studge Out (Btu/lb)		·	3,767		
				•	



POND CLOSURE CALCULATIONS & COST DETAILS

	DRYING OF SLUDGE	NOT INCLUDED HERE	
Sludge Mass Balance		Treatment	Lo. Temp Drying
Sludge Tons(Cake) In	2,684.4	Mobilize/Demobilize	#0
OIL	402.7	Loed/Haul to Dryer	\$O
Solids		Process Charge	. \$0
Studge Tons(Cake) Out	2,684.4	Power	<u>\$0</u>
OII	402.7	Subtotal Before Olf Credit	▼ 0
Solide	805.3		
Dil Recovered (Bbl)		Oil Recovery Credit	<u>o</u>
BTU Content		Subtotal	• o
Dwtr Sludge (Btu/lb)	3,757	•	
Orled Sludge (Btu/lb)	3,757	•	

ANDFILL	SLUDGE TREATMENT	T/DISPOSAL
ressed /Dried Tons	•	2,664
Lendfill Site	Ohio Landfill	
Lab Fee		#2,500
Haz, Charact.		\$3,000
Loading/Handling		\$13,422
Transportation		\$155,696
Landfill Fee		\$410,716
Subtotal		\$585,334

	SOIL/ LINER	REMOVAL		Soll/Rock Volume from Pond Sides	3	Mobilize/Demobilize	. \$2,270
Liner Volume in Pond, Yd3	SOME TON	ind from Ford Date	1,010	1,016		Standy Charge	. 80
					•		
Liner Volume, Out, Yd3			1,444	1,453		Escavate/Load	<u>840,614</u>
Liner/Soil, Tons			1,657	1,728			
		1		To Haz. Waste Landfill w/ riprap		Subtotal	142,884

•	SOIL/LINER TREAT	TMENT
LANDFILL DISPOSAL		
Soll, Tons		3,38
Landfill Site	Ohio Landfill	
Lab Fee		\$2,500
Haz, Charact,		#3,000
Transportation		\$196,301
Loading/Handling		\$16,923
Landfill Fee		\$517,828
Subtotal		¢736,553



POND CLOSURE CALCULATIONS & COST DETAILS

GROUNDWATER TREATMENT			
	Treatment Option	NOT REQUIRED	
'	Mobilize/Demob, Fee		#0
	Treatment Cost	`.	<u>\$0</u>
	Subtotal.		\$0

	RIP RAP, PIPES, AND STRUCTURES REMOVAL AND CL	EAN/DISPOSE
	Structures, removal and Haul	\$400
Í	Clean Structures	\$3,750
	Clean Pipes	\$11,800
	Rip Rap Wash	* \$0
	Landfill Rip Rap 9/ton	\$77.718
	• •	_
	Subtotal	. \$93,668

BACKFILL THE HOLE		Partial Fill For	
		Clean Closure	
Mobilize/Demob Fee	(Pipe & Sump - Cin Ctose)	\$10,000	
Partial Fill	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	\$121,105 <u>.</u>	
Soil/Liner Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	\$0	
Sludge Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtf.	\$O	
Emulsion Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	\$0	
Ret, Sludge + Add. Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	\$0	
Free Water Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	90	
Freeboard Volume	Supply/Place Fill Mtl.	<u>\$0</u>	
	subtotal	\$131,105	
	15% Shrinkage Factor	\$149,271	•



POND CLOSURE CALCULATIONS & COST DETAILS

					DISCOUNT FACTOR % =		
······	POST CLOSURE CARE		Clean Closure- P.V.		1	0	
			One Year	30 Years	YEAR	DISC. FACTORS SUMMAT	ION
Discount Factor% =		Annual Monitoring	\$0	#0	1	1.00	1.00
		Annual CAP inspection	. \$0	\$0	2	1.00	2.00
		Otrly/Annual Reporting	90	*0	3	1.00	3.00
		Other Monitoring	\$Q	\$0	. 4	1.00	4.00
		Subtotal	\$0	\$0	5	1.00	5.00
					1 8	1.00	6.00
					1 7	1.00	7.00
					8	1,00	8.00
	NTERNAL ENGINEERING		Clean Closure-Treat	1	9	1.00	9.00
			& Dispose Offsite		10	1,00	10.00
		1			11	1.00	11.00
		Internal Support	\$20,000	l	12	1.00	12.00
		Corp. Support	\$20,000	į.	13	1.00	13.00
				ŀ	14	1.00	14.00
		Subtotal .	\$40,000	1 '	15	1.00	15.00
				ì	18	1.00	18.00
				ŀ	17	1.00	17.00
				-	18	1.00	18.00
		•			19	1.00	19.00
· .	*				20	1.00	20.00
	OUTSIDE ENGINEERING			7	21	1,00	21.00
			Clean Closure-Treat	Ì	22	1.00	22.00
			& Dispose Offsite	1	23	1,00	23.00
			•		24	1.00	24.00
		Closure Design .	\$10,000	l .	25	1.00	25.00
	•	Closure Certification	\$10,000		26	1.00	26.00
		Project Mgmt	\$30,000		27	1.00	27.00
		Closure Report	\$25,000		28	1.00	28.00
		Sampling & Analysis	\$55,000		29	1.00	29.00
		Risk Assessment	\$30,000		30	1.00	30.00
		Subtotal	1160,000	i	6		